

Winding String Dynamics in a Time-Dependent Beta Deformed Background

Ta-Sheng Tai^{*)}

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

We study string theory on the analytically continued β deformed background proposed in hep-th/0509036. This non-static model provides a solvable conformal field theory which describes time-dependent twisted string dynamics. With the mini-superspace approach, we examine disk one-point correlators of D-branes and compute winding string pair production rate. We find that these results are consistent with the CFT computation.

§1. Introduction and summary

Winding string dynamics in Lorentzian orbifolds have recently been widely studied (see Refs. 1) – 9) and references therein). Because string theory in that context takes the form of a solvable conformal field theory, these time-dependent backgrounds provide interesting toy models in which both the mini-superspace approximation and the worldsheet theory can be examined.

In this note, we study one exactly solvable non-static string background proposed in Ref. 10). This background is obtained by applying Lorentzian analytical continuation to the static β deformed background, which has a metric similar to that of a flux-brane. As was first shown in Refs. 11) and 12), the string sigma model associated with the β deformed background is equivalent to an orbifold after the so-called TsT transformation is carried out. Following Ref. 13), the prescription to generate this kind of metric consists of the application of an $SL(2, R)$ transformation to the parameter τ as

$$\tau = B_{21} + i\sqrt{g} \rightarrow \tau' = \frac{\tau}{1 + b\tau} \quad (1.1)$$

for any string background with two $U(1)$ symmetries realized geometrically, i.e. a two-torus parameterized by, say, φ_1 and φ_2 . Note that B_{21} is the B -field along φ_1 and φ_2 , while $\sqrt{g}$ denotes the volume of the two torus under consideration. For instance, given a flat $\mathbb{R}^4$ metric $ds^2 = dr_1^2 + dr_2^2 + r_1^2 d\varphi_1^2 + r_2^2 d\varphi_2^2$, after the transformation given in Eq. (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= dr_1^2 + dr_2^2 + \frac{r_1^2}{1 + b^2 r_1^2 r_2^2} d\varphi_1^2 + \frac{r_2^2}{1 + b^2 r_1^2 r_2^2} d\varphi_2^2, \\ B_{\varphi_1 \varphi_2} &= \frac{-b r_1^2 r_2^2}{1 + b^2 r_1^2 r_2^2}, \quad e^{2(\Phi - \Phi_0)} = \frac{1}{1 + b^2 r_1^2 r_2^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where we choose $b > 0$ and $\varphi_i \sim \varphi_i + 2\pi$, $0 \leq r_i \leq \infty$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then, applying the

^{*)} E-mail: tasheng@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Wick rotation $r_1 \rightarrow it$, $\varphi_1 \rightarrow i\theta$, $b \rightarrow ib$, Eq. (1.2) becomes

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + \frac{t^2}{1+b^2t^2r^2}d\theta^2 + \frac{r^2}{1+b^2t^2r^2}d\varphi^2 ,$$

$$B_{\theta\varphi} = \frac{-bt^2r^2}{1+b^2t^2r^2} , \quad e^{2(\Phi-\Phi_0)} = \frac{1}{1+b^2t^2r^2} , \quad (1.3)$$

where $-\infty < t, \theta < \infty$, $0 \leq r < \infty$ and $\varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi$. Though Eq. (1.3) is generated from one half of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, it is possible to extend to the entire $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ and thereby to obtain a quantizable Lorentzian string orbifold through a TsT operation, as in Refs. 6), 7), and 14). As is pointed out in Ref. 10), the metric (1.3) possesses an interesting time dependence. When $t \sim 0$, this geometry has the same form as $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathbb{C}$. Then, as time elapses, a bubble appears near $r = 0$ of $\mathbb{C}$ whose size is approximately $r = \frac{1}{b|t|}$. Further, for $t \sim \infty$, the metric (1.3) becomes a slender cone $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ times a Misner space orbifolded by a boost $\Delta = 2\pi\alpha'b$, i.e. $[\mathbb{R}^{1,1}/\mathbb{Z}]_{\Delta=2\pi\alpha'b}$.

On the other hand, under the mini-superspace approximation, in which the string worldsheet reduces to a point particle, the string dynamics in the background (1.3) are generally described by a second-order ordinary differential equation

$$\ddot{\psi}(t) + \omega(t)^2\psi(t) = 0 , \quad (1.4)$$

up to a necessary change of variable, where the dot denotes differentiation w.r.t. time. This can be understood as a result of the on-shell constraint for a given string state ψ . Because the metric (1.3) is non-static, any quantum field propagating within it gives rise to particle production. Therefore, one can solve Eq. (1.4) to obtain the Bogoliubov coefficients which encode the production rate. We found that the production rate consists of two parts and is analogous to the case of charged particle pair creation in the Rindler space;¹⁷⁾ that is, one part, which is induced by the background B -field in (1.3), corresponds to the usual Schwinger effect, whereas the other, which is due to the Milne metric, i.e. $b = 0$ in (1.3), corresponds to the gravitational Unruh effect of Rindler dynamics. Moreover, by means of the DBI action, it is also possible to obtain the classical one-point correlator by computing the overlap of $\psi(t)$ and the D-brane worldvolume.

In addition, from the exact string spectrum on the background (1.3) after TsT, we can compute the torus and cylinder amplitudes, which facilitate a non-trivial comparison with the mini-superspace analysis. Though there is consistency between the results on disk one-point correlators, only the (anti-) holomorphic quasi-zero mode part of the torus amplitude is reproduced by the Bogoliubov coefficients of wave functions. Moreover, the double-pole structure of the torus amplitude, which arises from the product of the left and right mover of closed strings, has no imaginary part after the moduli integral is carried out. By considering the optical theorem, this seems to contradict the observation concerning string production made previously. However, there is, in fact, no contradiction. The apparent contradiction is simply due to the difference in the choice of the *in* and *out* vacua. In CFT, the *in* and *out* vacua coincide, because the physical descriptions are the same at $t = \pm\infty$, as seen from the metric (1.3), while in the mini-superspace approach, we have chosen them to be at $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$, respectively.

The rest of this note is organized as follows. In §2, we derive scalar wave functions. There, string production and disk one-point correlators are examined. In §3, we compare previous results with those obtained from the CFT calculation.

§2. Mini-superspace analysis

In the mini-superspace approximation, the worldsheet spectrum is truncated at zero-modes, and the closed string Hamiltonian $L_0 + \tilde{L}_0$ reduces to the effective Laplacian

$$\Delta_0 = \frac{1}{e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{-\det G}} \partial_\mu \left(e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{-\det G} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Plugging the metric (1.3) into this Laplacian, we obtain a scalar wave equation. Here, we write down its solutions and study the winding string pair production process. Then, we also derive classical disk one-point correlators of probe D-branes.

2.1. Wave functions

To begin, let us derive the full string spectrum and then consider the zero-slope limit to truncate at zero-modes. This facilitates a comparison with the spectrum derived from the wave mechanical approach sketched above. The sigma model associated with closed strings propagating in (1.3) is

$$S = \frac{-1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int \left[-dt \wedge^* dt + dr \wedge^* dr + \frac{1}{1+b^2 t^2 r^2} (t^2 d\theta \wedge^* d\theta) + \frac{1}{1+b^2 t^2 r^2} (r^2 d\varphi \wedge^* d\varphi) - \frac{bt^2 r^2}{1+b^2 t^2 r^2} (d\theta \wedge^* d\varphi) \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

Following Ref. 10), we first compactify θ to some finite radius and then T-dualize it to $\tilde{\theta}$, shifting φ as $\varphi' = \varphi + b\tilde{\theta}$. Next, we T-dualize again from $\tilde{\theta}$ to θ' , and finally decompactify θ . This process yields a free sigma model in terms of $X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t e^{\pm\theta'}$ and $X = r e^{i\varphi'}$, which satisfy^{*)}

$$\begin{aligned} X^\pm(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi) &= e^{\pm 2\pi\nu} X^\pm(\tau, \sigma), \quad \nu = \beta(J_L + J_R), \\ X(\tau, \sigma + 2\pi) &= e^{-2\pi i\mu} X(\tau, \sigma), \quad \mu = -\beta(\mathcal{J}_L + \mathcal{J}_R), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\beta = \alpha' b$. Note that $\mathcal{J}_L + \mathcal{J}_R$ ($J_L + J_R$) is the boost (rotation) generator of the $X^\pm$ (X) plane. Upon embedding this in the 26-dimensional bosonic string theory, the Virasoro generators are written as

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= -1 + \frac{\nu^2}{2} - \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{2} + \nu \mathcal{J}_L + \hat{\mu} J_L + N + \frac{\alpha'}{4} \vec{p}_{22}^2, \\ \tilde{L}_0 &= -1 + \frac{\nu^2}{2} - \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{2} - \nu \mathcal{J}_R - \hat{\mu} J_R + \tilde{N} + \frac{\alpha'}{4} \vec{p}_{22}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\hat{\mu} = (\mu - [\mu])$, while $[\mu]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to μ , and $\vec{p}_{22}$ abbreviates the momentum along the remaining $\mathbb{R}^{22}$. Taking the zero-slope

^{*)} The same operation can be carried out for the space-like counterpart, where $X^+ X^- < 0$.

limit, i.e.

$$\alpha' \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{with } b = \frac{\beta}{\alpha'} \text{ fixed}, \quad (2.5)$$

as well as defining

$$\frac{\alpha'}{2} M^2 := \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_0^+ \alpha_0^- + \alpha_0^- \alpha_0^+ + \tilde{\alpha}_0^+ \tilde{\alpha}_0^- + \tilde{\alpha}_0^- \tilde{\alpha}_0^+) - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \vec{p}_{22}^2, \quad (2.6)$$

we have the mass spectrum as^{*)}

$$M^2 = \frac{2\mu}{\alpha'} (l_L + l_R + 1). \quad (2.7)$$

The commutation relations $[\alpha_0^+, \alpha_0^-] = -i\nu$, $[\tilde{\alpha}_0^+, \tilde{\alpha}_0^-] = i\nu$ are used, and terms involving higher oscillating modes decouple, such as $(N + \tilde{N} - 2)$ and $\nu^2 - \hat{\mu}^2$. Here, $l_L(l_R) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ denotes the quasi-zero mode eigenvalue of $J_L(-J_R)$.

Next, we determine whether the mini-superspace approximation gives the same result. We are going to solve the wave equation $\Delta_0 \Psi = 0$, where Δ_0 is as in (2.1). Assuming that the wave function takes the form

$$\Psi(t, r, \theta, \varphi, \vec{x}) = \Psi_t(t) \Psi_r(r) e^{ik\theta + im\varphi + i\vec{p}\vec{x}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.8)$$

we find its temporal and radial parts, respectively, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left[-\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{k^2}{t^2} - b^2 m^2 t^2 \right] \Psi_t(t) &= E^2 \Psi_t(t), \\ \left[-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left(\frac{m^2}{r^2} + b^2 k^2 r^2 \right) \right] \Psi_r(r) &= R^2 \Psi_r(r). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The radial equation can be solved, and we find

$$\Psi_r(r) = C r^{|m|} e^{\frac{-b|k|r^2}{2}} L_j^{|m|}(b|k|r^2), \quad (2.10)$$

where C is a constant. Also, here we have

$$E^2 - \vec{p}_{22}^2 = R^2 = 2b|k|(2j + |m| + 1), \quad (2.11)$$

where j is a non-negative integer and $L_j^{|m|}(z)$ is the Laguerre polynomial, which is defined as

$$L_j^m(z) = \sum_{p=0}^j (-1)^p \binom{j+m}{j-p} \frac{z^p}{p!}. \quad (2.12)$$

With the identifications

$$M^2 = R^2, \quad m = l_L - l_R, \quad 2j + |m| = l_L + l_R, \quad \mu = \alpha' b k, \quad \nu = \alpha' b m, \quad (2.13)$$

^{*)} We have assumed that as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, $1 > |\mu| > 0$, so that $\mu = \hat{\mu}$.

it is seen that Eq. (2.11) actually coincides with Eq. (2.7).

In order to treat the temporal part of Eq. (2.9), we carry out the change of variable $t \rightarrow e^\xi$ and $\Psi_t(t) \rightarrow Y(\xi)$ ($0 \leq t \leq \infty$). Then the differential equation becomes^{*)}

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} + E^2 e^{2\xi} + b^2 m^2 e^{4\xi} + k^2 \right) Y(\xi) = 0 . \quad (2.14)$$

Next, setting $W(z) = e^\xi Y(\xi)$, where $z = -ib|m|e^{2\xi}$ is an imaginary variable, we arrive at

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{-1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{z} + \frac{1-4\eta^2}{4z^2} \right) \right] W_{\lambda,\eta}(z) = 0 , \quad \lambda = \frac{i}{4b|m|} E^2 , \quad \eta = \frac{i|k|}{2} . \quad (2.15)$$

We thus find that $\Psi_t(t)$ can be expressed in terms of a Whittaker function. Finally, note that given an x - p representation, as in Ref. 5) for quasi-zero modes, i.e. $\alpha_0^\pm = (i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\partial_\mp \pm \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{2\alpha'}}x^\pm)$, $\tilde{\alpha}_0^\pm = (i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\partial_\mp \mp \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{2\alpha'}}x^\pm)$, we can reproduce the first line in Eq. (2.9) by plugging this representation into the LHS of the on-shell constraint (2.7).

2.2. Winding string production

Because the model (1.3) is non-static, any quantum field propagating within it will give rise to particle production. By deriving the Bogoliubov coefficients, we can explicitly obtain the production rate.

Restricting ourselves to the time interval $0 \leq t \leq \infty$ ($-\infty \leq \xi \leq \infty$), we set the temporal part of the outgoing state to be $\psi_\sigma^{out} = e^{-\xi} W_{\lambda,\eta}(-z)$, where σ represents the quantum number $(k, m, \vec{p})$ in Eq. (2.8). Due to the property

$$z \rightarrow \infty , \quad W_{\lambda,\eta}(-z) \simeq e^{z/2} (-z)^\lambda , \quad (2.16)$$

in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\psi_\sigma^{out}(t) \propto |t|^{2\lambda-1} e^{\frac{-ib|m|t^2}{2}} ; \quad (2.17)$$

i.e. it asymptotically approaches a positive frequency mode in the far future. Making use of the relation between the Kummer and the Whittaker functions

$$W_{\lambda,\eta}(-z) = \frac{\Gamma(-2\eta)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \eta - \lambda)} e^{i\pi(\eta+\frac{1}{2})} [M_{\lambda,-\eta}(-z)]^* + \frac{\Gamma(2\eta)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \eta - \lambda)} M_{\lambda,-\eta}(-z) , \quad (2.18)$$

where $M_{\lambda,\eta}(-z) = e^{i\pi(\eta+\frac{1}{2})} [M_{\lambda,-\eta}(-z)]^*$, we are able to relate $\psi_\sigma^{out}(t)$ to the incoming state through the Bogoliubov transformation expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\sigma^{in} &= \alpha_\sigma \psi_\sigma^{out} + \beta_\sigma \psi_{-\sigma}^{out*} , \\ \psi_\sigma^{out} &= \alpha_\sigma^* \psi_\sigma^{in} - \beta_\sigma \psi_{-\sigma}^{in*} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

^{*)} Charged particles in the Rindler space obey a similar differential equation (see Ref. 17)).

Thus, according to (2.18), we can express the temporal part of the *in* state in terms of the Kummer function $\psi_\sigma^{in} = |t|^{-1} M_{\lambda, -\mu}(-z)$. Near $t = 0$, it behaves as

$$\psi_\sigma^{in}(t) \propto e^{-i|k| \log |t|} , \quad (2.20)$$

due to the property

$$z \rightarrow 0 , \quad M_{\lambda, -\eta}(-z) = (-z)^{-\eta + \frac{1}{2}} [1 + \mathcal{O}(-z)] . \quad (2.21)$$

It is thus seen that the *in* state contains both positive and negative frequency modes in the far future; i.e. winding strings are pair created. The production rate is found to be

$$\left| \frac{-\beta_\sigma}{\alpha_\sigma^*} \right|^2 = |\gamma_\sigma|^2 = e^{2\pi i \eta} \left| \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \eta + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \eta - \lambda)} \right|^2 = \frac{1 + e^{\pi \left(|k| - \frac{E^2}{2b|m|} \right)} e^{-2\pi |k|}}{1 + e^{\pi \left(|k| - \frac{E^2}{2b|m|} \right)}} . \quad (2.22)$$

Defining $E'^2 = E^2 - 2b|k||m|$, where $\bar{p}_{22}^2$ is ignored for simplicity, we are able to set $e^{\sum_{\sigma,j} \log |\gamma_\sigma|^2} = e^{-(\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B})}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \sum_{\sigma,j} \log \left[1 + e^{\pi \left(|k| - \frac{E^2}{2b|m|} \right)} \right] = \sum_{\sigma,j} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} e^{\frac{-n\pi\alpha' E'^2}{2|\nu|}} \\ &= -2\text{Im} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u} \text{Tr} e^{-\pi u \mathcal{H}} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} e^{-\pi u \mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{k,m,j} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dE'^2 \rho_{k,m,j}(E'^2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi u \alpha' \left[E'^2 + 2b|k|(2j+1) \right]} \\ &= \sum_{k,m} \frac{1}{4i \sin(\pi|\nu|u) \sin(-\pi|\mu|iu)} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

where we have used the following formulas:³⁾

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2i \sin y} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-i(2j+1)y} , \\ \rho_{k,m,j}(E'^2) &= \frac{i}{2b|m|} \log \Lambda + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d}{dE'^2} \log \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i \frac{E'^2}{4b|m|})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{E'^2}{4b|m|})} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where Λ is an infrared cutoff. That $\mathcal{A}$ is now explicitly recast into an imaginary part of a one-loop vacuum free energy reflects the optical theorem.

Next, we interpret the subtraction term $\mathcal{B}$. It can be shown that for $2b|k||m| \simeq E^2$ and $|k| \gg 1$, we have $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma,j} e^{-2\pi |k|}$, which is just the production rate of

untwisted strings, as can be seen by setting $b = 0$ in Eq. (2.14).^{*)} Therefore, comparing Eq. (2.22) and the corresponding relation in the case of charged particle pair creation in the Rindler space,¹⁷⁾ we observe that $\mathcal{A}$, which is induced by the background B -field in Eq. (1.3), corresponds to the usual Schwinger effect, whereas $\mathcal{B}$, which is due to the Milne metric ($b = 0$), corresponds to the gravitational Unruh effect of Rindler dynamics.

2.3. Disk one-point functions

We now compute classical disk one-point correlators of D-branes by using wave functions derived above. There are two kinds of D-branes we will consider, i.e. a D1-brane wrapping $X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}te^{\pm\theta'}$ and a D-brane instanton wrapping $X = re^{i\varphi'}$. Expanding the DBI action around the metric (1.3),^{18),19)}

$$S^{\text{DBI}} = S_0 + \int d^{p+1}\rho \left. \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi} \right|_0 \delta \Phi + \dots, \quad (2.26)$$

one can gauge fix the worldvolume coordinates to be parallel to the spacetime coordinates that D-branes wrap. Identifying the dilaton fluctuation $\delta \Phi$ as $\Psi(x)$ in Eq. (2.8), we find that the one-point function can be read off as

$$\langle \Psi \rangle_{\text{disk}} = \tau_p \int d^{p+1}x e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(G+B)} \Psi(x), \quad (2.27)$$

where τ_p is the Dp -brane tension.

For the D1-brane localized at $X = r_0 e^{i\varphi'_0}$, with $\mathcal{J}_L + \mathcal{J}_R = 0$ ($k = 0$), by plugging the metric (1.3) into Eq. (2.27), we obtain

$$\langle \Psi_{k=0,m,j} \rangle_{\text{disk}}^{\text{D1}} = \tau_1 \int d\theta dt |t| C_\sigma \Psi_{t,\sigma}(t) \times (\text{other spatial parts}). \quad (2.28)$$

Here, $C_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha_\sigma|^2 - |\beta_\sigma|^2}}$ represents the normalization of $\Psi_{t,\sigma}(t)$, which is determined by requiring the unitary condition $|\frac{\alpha_\sigma}{C_\sigma}|^2 - |\frac{\beta_\sigma}{C_\sigma}|^2 = 1$ in Eq. (2.19). By choosing $\Psi_{t,\sigma}(t) = |t|^{-1} M_{\lambda,0}(-z)$, we obtain

$$O_t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt |t| C_\sigma \Psi_{t,\sigma}(t) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{-i}{b|m|}}, \quad (2.29)$$

up to a prefactor $\mathcal{Q}$ depending on the regularization procedure, which we do not consider here. For the D-brane instanton localized at $X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}t_0 e^{\pm\theta'_0}$, with $\mathcal{J}_L + \mathcal{J}_R = 0$ ($m = 0$), the part relevant to the X -plane is

$$O_X = \int d\varphi dr r C \Psi_r(r) = \sqrt{\frac{b|k|}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx L_j^0(x) e^{-x/2} = 2(-)^j \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{b|k|}}, \quad x = b|k|r^2, \quad (2.30)$$

^{*)} Note that this situation resembles the process of open string pair creation in the half S-brane. Following Ref. 16), we can soon derive this production rate.

where we have used Eqs. (2.10) and (2.12), and the normalization $C = \sqrt{\frac{(b|k|)^{|m|+1}j!}{\pi(j+|m|)!}}$, as well as the definition of the Gamma function,

$$\Gamma(l+1) = l! = \int_0^\infty dt \, t^l e^{-t} . \quad (2.31)$$

In the next section, we determine whether these classical results reproduce those from the quantum cylinder amplitude in the $\alpha' \ll 1$ limit.

§3. CFT description

3.1. Torus amplitude

It would be illuminating to see whether the mini-superspace approach is consistent with the CFT computation. To carry out this, we first derive the torus amplitude

$$Z(\tau) = \text{Tr} \, q^{L_0} \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0} , \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau} , \quad \tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 , \quad (3.1)$$

where L_0 and $\tilde{L}_0$ are as in Eq. (2.4). As in Ref. 10), we insert delta functions of the forms^{*)}

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int d^2 j_b \, \delta(\mathcal{J}_L - j_b) \delta(\mathcal{J}_R - \bar{j}_b) , \\ \delta(\mathcal{J}_L - j_b) \delta(\mathcal{J}_R - \bar{j}_b) &= \int d^2 \chi_b \, e^{2\pi i \chi_b (\mathcal{J}_L - j_b) + 2\pi i \bar{\chi}_b (\mathcal{J}_R - \bar{j}_b)} , \\ 1 &= \int d^2 j_r \, \delta(J_L - j_r) \delta(J_R - \bar{j}_r) , \\ \delta(J_L - j_r) \delta(J_R - \bar{j}_r) &= \int d^2 \chi_r \, e^{2\pi i \chi_r (J_L - j_r) + 2\pi i \bar{\chi}_r (J_R - \bar{j}_r)} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\delta^2(z) = \int d^2 y \, e^{2\pi i y z + 2\pi i \bar{y} \bar{z}}$, into $Z(\tau)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\tau) &= \frac{V_{22}}{(2\pi)^{22} (\alpha' \tau_2)^{11}} \int d^2 \chi_r d^2 \chi_b \frac{1}{|\vartheta_1(i\chi_b|\tau) \vartheta_1(\chi_r|\tau) \eta(\tau)^{18}|^2} \\ &\times \left[\int d^2 j_r d^2 j_b \, q^{-\nu j_b} q^{-(\mu - [\mu]) j_r} \bar{q}^{\nu \bar{j}_b} \bar{q}^{(\mu - [\mu]) \bar{j}_r} e^{-2\pi i (\chi_b j_b + \bar{\chi}_b \bar{j}_b + \chi_r j_r + \bar{\chi}_r \bar{j}_r)} \right] \\ &\times \exp \left[\pi \frac{(\chi_b - \bar{\chi}_b)^2 - (\chi_r - \bar{\chi}_r)^2}{2\tau_2} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Note that terms involving ν^2 and $\hat{\mu}^2$ in (3.1) have been summarized into the third line.^{**) In order to treat the second line above, we shift $\chi_r \rightarrow \chi_r + \tau[\mu]$ and $\bar{\chi}_r \rightarrow$}

^{*)} Note that the sum $\mathcal{J}_L + \mathcal{J}_R$ (or $J_L + J_R$) is some real value.

^{**) With the expression}

$$e^{2\pi \tau_2 (i\nu)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dl \, e^{\frac{-\pi \tau_2}{2} l^2} e^{2\pi i \tau_2 \nu l} , \quad (3.4)$$

we can shift $j_b \rightarrow j_b + \frac{i l}{2}$, $\bar{j}_b \rightarrow \bar{j}_b - \frac{i l}{2}$ in the second line of Eq. (3.3) to eliminate $e^{2\pi i \tau_2 \nu l}$, and then integrate out l to obtain the third line. A similar manipulation can be performed to $e^{2\pi \tau_2 \hat{\mu}^2}$.

$\bar{\chi}_r + \bar{\tau}[\mu]$. By doing this, the second line can be integrated out, and it becomes $\frac{1}{(2\beta\tau_2)^2} \exp\left[\frac{\pi}{\beta\tau_2}(\chi_b\bar{\chi}_r - \chi_r\bar{\chi}_b)\right]$. Note also that we have used^{*)}

$$\text{Tr} \left[q^{N-1} e^{2\pi i \chi_b \mathcal{J}_L + 2\pi i \chi_r \mathcal{J}_L} \right] \text{Tr} \left[\bar{q}^{\tilde{N}-1} e^{2\pi i \bar{\chi}_b \mathcal{J}_R + 2\pi i \bar{\chi}_r \mathcal{J}_R} \right] = \frac{1}{\left| \vartheta_1(i\chi_b|\tau) \vartheta_1(\chi_r|\tau) \eta(\tau)^{18} \right|^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Modular invariance becomes manifest when we simultaneously rescale $\tau \rightarrow \frac{-1}{\tau}$, $\chi_{r,b} \rightarrow \frac{\chi_{r,b}}{\tau}$ for $\int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2} Z(\tau)$. Then, by employing the point-particle approximation, in which $\tau_1 = 0$, $\tau_2 = u$ and $\alpha' \ll 1$, only Eq. (3.5) remains in the integrand of Eq. (3.3). The (anti-) holomorphic quasi-zero mode contribution becomes

$$\frac{1}{4 \sin(\pi \nu u) \sin(-\pi \mu i u)}, \quad (3.6)$$

where we have renamed χ_b as $-\nu\tau$ and χ_r as $-\hat{\mu}\tau$, comparing the trace structure of Eq. (3.5) with Eq. (2.4). It is obvious that Eq. (3.6) is reproduced by Eq. (2.24).

The double-pole structure in Eq. (3.5), which has no imaginary part after carrying out the moduli integral, implies that there is no particle production, according to the optical theorem. We can understand this by considering the fact that in CFT the *in* and *out* vacua coincide, because the physical descriptions are the same at $t = \pm\infty$, as seen from the metric (1.3). By contrast, in the mini-superspace approach, we have chosen the *in* and *out* vacua to be at $t = 0$ and $t = \infty$, respectively. Hence, there is no contradiction with the observation concerning string production made previously.

3.2. Cylinder amplitude

We now read off the disk one-point function from the cylinder amplitude and take the zero-slope limit to see if it does match with the classical result. We first treat the case of a D1-brane which wraps $X^\pm$, but moves along the φ' direction of X at r_0 with an angular momentum m . From the arguments made in deriving Eq. (2.3), it is seen that βm is the twist parameter of the $X^\pm$ -plane. The cylinder amplitude is found to be^{**)}

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha' \pi}{2} \mathcal{N}_1'^2 \int_0^\infty ds \langle \langle B, m; D1 | e^{-\pi s(L_0 + \tilde{L}_0)} | B, m; D1 \rangle \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_1'}{\mathcal{N}_1}\right)^2 4\pi^2 \alpha' e^{-\pi \beta^2 m^2 s - \frac{\pi m^2 \alpha'}{2r_0^2} s}}{s^{\frac{23}{2}} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{\frac{3}{2}} \vartheta_1(\beta|m|s|is) \eta(is)^{21}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1' = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi^2 \alpha'}{2 \sinh(\pi \beta |m|)}} \mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_1$ is the usual D1-brane normalization. From the structure of the above expression, we see that for $\alpha' \ll 1$, $(\frac{\mathcal{N}_1'}{\mathcal{N}_1})^2 \propto |O_t|^2$ of

^{*)} It is proven in Ref. 15) that no negative norm states propagate within this kind of Lorentzian torus amplitude.

^{**)} An overall volume factor is omitted here.

Eq. (2.29). There remains a proportionality ambiguity which involves the regularization procedure mentioned below Eq. (2.29). We next calculate the overlap of two D-brane instantons $|B, k; Ins\rangle\rangle$. Because the D-brane instanton wraps X at t_0 , with a momentum k along the θ' direction of $X^\pm$, we have (for $\beta|k| < 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha'\pi}{2} N_1'^2 \int_0^\infty ds \langle\langle B, k; Ins | e^{-\pi s(L_0 + \bar{L}_0)} | B, k; Ins \rangle\rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \frac{(\frac{N_1'}{N_1})^2 4\pi^2 \alpha' e^{\pi\beta^2 k^2 s - \frac{\pi k^2 \alpha'}{2t_0^2} s}}{s^{\frac{23}{2}} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{\frac{3}{2}} \vartheta_1(-i\beta|k|s|is) \eta(is)^{21}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $N_1' = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi^2 \alpha'}{2\sin(\pi\beta|k|)}} \mathcal{N}_1$. In the limit $\alpha' \ll 1$, we find that $(\frac{N_1'}{N_1})^2$ exactly coincides with the square of O_X derived in Eq. (2.30).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Y. Hikida, F.-L. Lin, Y. Matsuo, Y. Sugawara, Y. Tachikawa and, especially, T. Takayanagi for stimulating discussions.

References

- 1) H. Liu, G. W. Moore and N. Seiberg, J. High Energy Phys. **06** (2002), 045; hep-th/0204168.
- 2) H. Liu, G. W. Moore and N. Seiberg, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2002), 031; hep-th/0206182.
- 3) M. Berkooz and B. Pioline, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **11** (2003), 007; hep-th/0307280.
- 4) M. Berkooz, B. Pioline and M. Rozali, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **08** (2004), 004; hep-th/0405126.
- 5) M. Berkooz, B. Durin, B. Pioline and D. Reichmann, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **10** (2004), 002; hep-th/0407216.
- 6) N. A. Nekrasov, Surveys High Energy Phys. **17** (2002), 115; hep-th/0203112.
- 7) L. Cornalba and M. S. Costa, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002), 066001; hep-th/0203031.
- 8) Y. Hikida and T.-S. Tai, J. High Energy Phys. **01** (2006), 054; hep-th/0510129.
- 9) Y. Hikida, hep-th/0606191.
- 10) M. Spradlin, T. Takayanagi and A. Volovich, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (2005), 039; hep-th/0509036.
- 11) S. Frolov, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2005), 069; hep-th/0503201.
- 12) J. G. Russo, J. High Energy Phys. **09** (2005), 031; hep-th/0508125.
- 13) O. Lunin and J. Maldacena, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2005), 033; hep-th/0502086.
- 14) T.-S. Tai, hep-th/0601039.
- 15) Y. Hikida, R. R. Nayak and K. L. Panigrahi, J. High Energy Phys. **09** (2005), 023; hep-th/0508003.
- 16) A. Strominger, hep-th/0209090.
- 17) C. Gabriel and P. Spindel, Ann. of Phys. **284** (2000), 263; gr-qc/9912016.
- 18) E. Dudas and J. Mourad, Nucl. Phys. B **622** (2002), 46; hep-th/0110186.
- 19) A. A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9908105.